International Journal of Engineering Research & Management Technology
ISSN: 2348-4039
May- June 2023 Volume-10, Issue-3
Email: editor@ijermt.org www.ijermt.org

EXPLORING THE CONCEPT OF EQUALITY WITH A FOCUS ON SOCIETAL CHALLENGES AND STRUCTURAL FRAMEWORKS

Sd Sha Taukirul Islam
Enrollment No. AR19BPHDPO004
Subject: Political Science
Supervisor: Dr. Priti Kushwaha
University: Sardar Patel University, Balaghat

ABSTRACT

This is a scholarly study that captures the issue of equality in many aspects such as the theoretical basis of equality, the dimensions of equality, and the challenges that hinder the achievement of equality especially in the Indian setting. It analyses critically the extent to which equality thought to be embedded in the constitution and as well as morally undermined by strong social structures, financial inequality, and gender discriminations and institutional inefficiencies. Guiding with the notions of social, economic, political, and legal aspects, the work reveals the concept of how systematic discriminations retain these colossal areas of the society to the peripherals. It is based on the philosophical ideas, historical movements of reforms and provisions of the constitution which assesses the role played by leaders such as Rammohan Roy, Ambedkar and Gandhi in developing the vision of egalitarian India. The study concludes that though there exist major legal frameworks and reforms, symbolic gestures tend to counterbalance actual changes in structure hence making these efforts of reform limited. The study also applies new understandings of equality, such as Intersectionality, digital inclusion and challenges of meritocracy, in order to rethink equality as a goal that is time and process-bound and needs to be based on justice and equity. Finally, it claims that an alternative that is multidimensional and structural to the formal right is one that has the strength to overturn the established power structures and can guarantee universal development and dignity to everyone.

Keywords: *Concept, Equality, Societal Challenges, Structural Frameworks, Social Hierarchies, Multidimensional*

1. INTRODUCTION

Democratic societies are based on equality and it represents the ideal that people should be treated fairly, with dignity, and have equal opportunity, irrespective of their background. Although equality is a concept commonly embedded in constitutions, law and international declarations, its implementation is a multifaceted and controversial objective. This is not just about uniformity but about justice, i.e. people are not discriminated against on basis of caste, gender, race, religion or economic status. It entails a balance between the rights of individuals and collective duties and the destruction of systemic obstacles that hinder the complete inclusion and participation of every member of the society.

Even though strong legal and institutional progress has been made in the quest to achieve equality, societies all over the world still struggle with the hard-rooted inequalities. In such nations as India, cast systems, patriarchal systems, wealth disparity, and religious hostility are extremely problematic in the fair allocation of rights and resources. These social issues are further aggravated by structural infrastructures such as political, legal and educative systems that tend to echo and affirm past injustices. Consequently, formal equality, e.g. the right to vote or use public institutions, often fails to provide substantive justice and effective inclusion to marginalized people.

This study will examine the multidimensional aspect of equality by examining the various aspects of equality, social, economic, political, and legal, and the societal challenges which hinder their achievement. It tries to unravel how systematic discrimination has persisted and changed by looking at the past reform movements, constitutional clauses, and the existing disparities. Another reason highlighted by the research is the necessity to redefine equality in the context of current issues including intersectionality, access to digital tools, and the shortcomings of meritocracy, which implies that a transition to the idea of substantive equity rather than formal equality is the key to true social change.

Moreover, the paper critically examines the ways through which structural frameworks such as legal institutions, governance mechanisms and socio-economic policies can facilitate or hinder equality. One of the most important goals is to comprehend the difference between constitutional principles and realities, and to assess the success of affirmative action, welfare and legal protection in reducing this gap. The final point that the paper makes is that equality cannot be achieved solely through legislation but there is need to restructure society in terms of norms, attitudes, and institutions so that justice and dignity can be available to every individual.

Equality is a complex and changing ideal, in a world that is more diverse and more interdependent, and equality too must change, to address new modes of exclusion, and to capitalize on the progress of historical struggles. This study therefore provides an all-round examination of equality, which is based on theoretical understanding, contextual interpretation, and critical reflection on the issues of society as well as structural solutions.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Cyril-Mary P. Olatunji (2013) claimed that gender inequality in Africa was so entrenched in historical, cultural and institutional practices that habitually discriminated against women. The author made it clear that societies in Africa tended to use patriarchal explanations of tradition and religion to exclude women in decision making and leadership. The work of Olatunji promoted a radical movement which acknowledged the human and rational nature of women and women should not be viewed as a western importation of

gender equality, but as the moral and rational aspect of justice. The paper suggested a philosophical re-orientation of African socio-political settings in order to deal with the issue of gender discrimination in Africa.

M. Padmavati (2016) assessed with the importance of Self-Help Groups (SHGs) in empowering women in the district of Chittoor in Andhra Pradesh. The research found out that SHGs positively influenced the socio-economic status of women by offering them access to credit, entrepreneurship training and collective bargaining power. Padmavati observed that women were more confident, took decisions and moved freely in the society through SHGs. The study also pointed out the importance of economic freedom as a result of SHGs in achieving higher levels of gender equality at the grassroots especially in the rural context where women used to be more bound by restrictions.

Padmaja Tamuli and Kaushik Mishra (2023) discussed the correlation between gender equality and women empowerment as part of the sustainable development in India. In their study, they found that education, economic participation, and political representation were some of the areas that needed to be intervened to close the gender gaps. The authors claimed that women empowerment not only played a crucial role in gender equality, but it was also indispensable in the attainment of the larger development objectives. The study indicated that the policy initiatives must incorporate gender sensitive planning and overcome structural obstacles that hampered women progress both in urban and rural settings.

Peterson K. Ozili (2023) investigated the connection between gender equality, financial stability, and financial inclusion. This study revealed that gender equality had a positive impact on the financial systems through the following ways; enhancing inclusive financial practices, expanding economic participation, and decreasing the systemic risks related to gender-based financial exclusion. Ozili proposed the argument that institutional resilience and governance are improved by improving women access to financial services and decision making within the financial institution. The results indicated that gender-sensitive financial policies were necessary not only to attain social equity but also to bring about economic sustainability.

Priti Jha and Niti Nagar (2015) carried out a detailed study of gender inequality in India, its occurrence in the social, economic and political spheres. Through their research, Indian women were still experiencing a lot of discrimination in education, employment, health and political representation despite their constitutional guarantees. Some of the factors that the authors cited as key challenges to gender equality include patriarchal attitudes, ignorance, and poor enforcement of laws. They also asserted that there was a necessity of gender education and policy changes to overcome the deep-rooted societal prejudice.

R. Rajesh (2014) explored the representation of gender in Indian TV commercials and pointed out how commercial media tended to reproduce gender stereotypes and reproduce traditional roles. It was concluded

that the women were often shown in subservient, ornamental or domestic position whereas men were shown as dominant, influential and professional. Rajesh claimed that these depictions helped shape the way the society perceived gender roles and shaped the mind of the people, particularly the young people. The study recommended the media to be more responsible and gender-sensitive in their contents to enhance gender justice in advertisements.

3. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF EQUALITY

Equality is an extremely philosophical concept that has been developing over centuries as society tried to struggle with the concepts of justice, fairness, and human dignity. In essence, equality is not about making everyone identical, but about the fairness in the treatment and opportunities that are provided to people with regard to their diverse situations and needs. Such philosophers as Jean-Jacques Rousseau, John Locke, and Karl Marx have presented contrasting but powerful views as to whether people are inherently equal or that equality is a product of societal influences through political and economic systems. Such discussions assist us to realize that equality should not be viewed as a one-dimensional concept but as a multi-dimensional principle that incorporates legal rights, moral duties, and institutional forms.

Each of the various types of equality natural, political, economic, and social has a different purpose in the design of a just society. Natural equality implies the recognition of the inherent value and dignity of all people in their irrespective status, gender, caste, and race, and it is the moral basis of human rights. Political equality means that all the citizens get equal say in the democratic process, including the right to vote and the right to hold political office. Economic equality deals with the allocation of resources and opportunities and tries to reduce the various differences that are caused by class, wealth, and access to resources. At the same time, social equality aims to end discrimination in daily life and social institutions, and it is concerned with equality in education, work, and personal relationships.

Equality does not imply treating all people the same, but instead making sure that people receive what they require in order to have equal outcomes a notion referred to as proportional or substantive justice, which is traced back to Aristotle. This strategy recognizes the past and systematic disparity among marginalized groups and warrants affirmative actions or equity-related policies to achieve equality. Therefore, the theoretical premises of equality point to the fact that justice should be responsive to differences and driven by what is fair, not what is similar to achieve a more differentiated and egalitarian society.

4. DIMENSIONS OF EQUALITY

Equality is a versatile concept that refers to social, economic, political and legal realms. All these dimensions are distinct dimensions of human interaction and involvement in the society. The perfect equality may be

obtained only when all of these dimensions are in unison to remove the systemic discrimination, tear down the vested privileges, and embrace inclusive growth. The following is a deeper look at each dimension.

4.1. Social Equality

Social equality is the condition in which people are treated equally and respected in every aspect of life whether it is the sphere of life or in the social life. Social equality in the ideal society ensures the absence of discrimination, prejudice and exclusion. But this is not the case in most societies and India is one such society where deep-rooted caste stratifications and patriarchal ethos still exclude substantial proportions of the population.

The caste system has been one of the strongest obstacles to social equality in India. In spite of constitutional protection and abolition of untouchability (Article 17), a lot of Dalits and tribal populations still experience discrimination at work, residence, school and in social life. Examples of such practices are exclusion in temples, denial of services and segregated sitting in schools and community functions which are still practiced in certain areas.

Such social injustices were raised and fought by social reformers such as Swami Vivekananda, Raja Rammohan Roy, and Dr. B.R. Ambedkar. Vivekananda criticized his caste-based exclusion and spiritual unity, and the dignity of man. Rammohan Roy supported women rights and education and also urged the government to abolish such practices as Sati. The main architect of the Indian Constitution, Ambedkar, stressed the necessity of annihilating the caste and obtaining real social democracy.

Social equality can be achieved by breaking the traditional hierarchies, access to quality education and health, encouraging inter-caste marriages, increasing representation of the marginalized groups in the public sphere, and creating a culture of tolerance and inclusivity. Social equality cannot be enacted in loneliness, but it should be developed by education, civic duty, and active opposition to discrimination.

4.2. Economic Equality

Economic equality is characterized by equal and equitable distribution of resources, income and wealth. It means that everyone must be given a chance to live a good life by having productive resources and being economically secure. As a matter of fact, economic inequality is one of the most enduring and obvious types of inequality in the world.

There are various causes of economic inequality:

- Unequal ownership of land and property
- Disparities in access to education and vocational training

- Inheritance-based wealth accumulation
- Discrimination in hiring practices and wage distribution
- Unequal access to financial services, such as credit and insurance

Economic inequality has dire effects it limits social mobility, creates cycles of poverty and breeds resentment and also weakens democratic governance. With the wealthy and resources concentrated in the hands of a small elite, the majority of the population end up disempowered and could be exploited.

Philosophers such as Karl Marx and Harold Laski believed that as long as the material basis of inequality was not addressed then legal equality and political equality was a farce. According to Marx, the exploitation of people in the economic sphere which is the basis of the struggle between classes, Laski proposed to intervene into the state to provide more equal distribution of resources.

In the Indian setting, though the Constitution has given the right to livelihood and property, there are still structural impediments to the benefit of the marginalized communities. The land reforms have not been very effective and the informal labor still prevails the workforce without legal or social security. Economic justice, hence, demands the policies like progressive taxation, land redistribution, universal basic services (education, healthcare, housing) and specific welfare programs to the poor and backward classes.

4.3. Political Equality

Political equality dictates that all the citizens have equal rights to take part in the governance of the nation, through voting, elections, or through discourse and debate in the public. It forms the basis of any democratic system because it has the principle of sovereignty to the people.

Political equality in theory is achieved by universal adult franchise, free speech, free association as well as equal access to governance. But in practice, there are some factors, which prevent the complete implementation of this principle:

- Voter suppression through coercion, misinformation, or disenfranchisement
- Influence of money and corporate interests in elections
- Unequal media access and representation
- Underrepresentation of marginalized groups (e.g., women, Dalits, minorities) in legislative bodies
- Lack of political literacy and awareness among disadvantaged communities

The Indian Constitution has strong clauses that entrench political equality, such as the right to vote (Article 326), the right to form association (Article 19), and affirmative action policy such as political reservation of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. In spite of these provisions, politics is always dominated by elites who are more accessible to education, networks, and resources.

The real political equality requires not only the formal right to be involved, but also the ability to affect decisions. The need of the hour is to have civic education, political empowerment measures, reforms in campaign financing, and decentralization of governance (including empowering local Panchayats) in order to have a more inclusive political space.

4.4. Legal Equality

Legal equality means that everyone is equal before the law and has equal protection and treatment of the law. This is equal access to justice, non-discrimination in the application of law, and the lack of arbitrary advantage or disadvantage depending on personal characteristics.

Legal equality is codified in the Indian Constitution in Articles 14 to 18:

- **Article 14**: Equality before the law and equal protection of laws
- **Article 15**: Prohibition of discrimination on grounds of religion, race, caste, sex, or place of birth
- **Article 16**: Equality of opportunity in matters of public employment
- **Article 17**: Abolition of untouchability
- **Article 18**: Abolition of titles

Despite these constitutional guarantees, legal inequality persists due to various challenges:

- Lack of awareness of legal rights, especially among the poor and illiterate
- Costly and time-consuming judicial procedures
- Discriminatory attitudes among law enforcement and judicial officers
- Inadequate legal aid infrastructure
- Social and political pressure influencing judicial outcomes

Moreover, the bias is also reinforced by the presence of upper-caste, rich people in the legal institutions in disproportionate numbers. Legal equality should not be taken as a mere reality; it has to be meaningful, and this implies that legal institutions should be accessible, affordable, impartial, and inclusive. The gap between

formal legal equality and lived legal reality needs to be closed by legal literacy campaigns, the expansion of free legal aid services, judicial reform, and the appointment of diverse judges and public prosecutors.

5. SOCIETAL CHALLENGES TO EQUALITY

Despite the constitutional systems and international human rights instruments providing good platforms on equality, implementation thereof is still a big challenge. These issues are complex history-based, social-tradition-endorsed, and system-driven. These are the barriers to the development of genuinely egalitarian societies not only in India but also in the global context. This part expounds on the fundamental challenges to equality in the society:

➢ Caste and Racial Discrimination

Social inequality that is still prevalent in India as well as all over the world is caste and racial discrimination. In India, the caste hierarchy, especially towards Dalits and Scheduled Tribes, has excluded them in education, land, and employment even though the constitution and affirmative action policies have been in place. This is especially so in the rural areas and the informal sectors. Racial discrimination is still happening globally on a systematic level, including racial profiling, uneven policing, housing and educational inequality, and the lack of representation of minorities in political and economic institutions. High prison rates and economic marginalization of African-Americans is a clear indication of systemic racism in the United States. Both the discriminations are historical legacies of exploitation systems.

➢ Gender Inequality

Patriarchal norms and gender inequality are one of the main obstacles to equality in the world. Women and gender minorities are systematically excluded in political, economic and social participation and this has resulted in wage gaps, occupational segregation and prevalence of gender-based violence. Their underrepresentation in politics restricts their impact in the decision-making process. Skewed sex ratios and female infanticide in India are due to cultural practices such as dowry, child marriage and son preference. Even with legislative systems such as the Protection of Women against Domestic Violence Act, not much is being done due to weak enforcement, negligence by institutions and stigma in society that do not promote gender justice.

➢ Religious and Ethnic Tensions

Politicization and mobilization based on identity result in religious and ethnic tension that causes conflict. Political actors in pluralistic societies take advantage of these identities to drive communal violence, hate

speech and xenophobia to achieve electoral or ideological advantage. Religious intolerance in India and hate crimes against minorities and ethnic unrests in tribal and border regions are common. Nationalist and populist leaderships have created divisions across the world and caused anti-immigrant rhetoric, refugee crisis, and systematic persecution of minorities. Such conflicts sabotage secularism and pluralism, which flamboyantly break the principles of equality by disenfranchising whole communities politically, economically and culturally, weakening social integration and democratic ideals.

- **Economic Disparities**

The economic inequality, which is defined by the concentration of wealth and income inequalities, is one of the major threats to world equality. Although the population of the world is divided into a small percentage who hold much of the wealth of the world, billions of people live in poverty and can hardly get access to the fundamental needs of life. Economic liberalization in India has decreased poverty, but it has also increased inequality especially to informal workers, farmers and slum dwellers. Such aspects as uncontrolled capitalism, cronyism, and poor workforce protection fuel these inequalities further. Economic inequality usually overlaps with caste, religion, and ethnicity, which makes historically disadvantaged groups increasingly marginalized. There are structural privileges regarding credit, ownership of assets and inheritance that are available to the privileged classes, which restrict social mobility and lock out others in a cycle of exclusion.

- **Educational Inequities**

There is educational disparity between social economic and territorial settings, which impedes social equality. In India, there is an urban rural gap that contributes to poor infrastructure, scarcity of trained teachers and unavailability of learning material. The marginalized students especially girls, Dalits and Adivasis are discriminated, experience language issues and social stigma. Secondary dropouts are high despite the efforts of the government because of poverty and cultural limitations. Urban regions play a significant role in the provision of quality education through the income-based access to quality education in terms of the provision of superior facilities in the private schools. The gap has been further increased by the emergence of digital learning. Curriculum and assessment system biases disadvantaged groups and perpetuate their exclusion. This disparity inhibits job access, suppresses civic engagement, and hinders social mobility, amplifying larger trends of social and economic inequality.

6. REFORM MOVEMENTS AND HISTORICAL STRUGGLES

The history of India has been a long-time battle of equality and equality movements and historical attempts to break the social and historical chains of inequality. Bhakti movement, which appeared in medieval India, was crucial to challenge the caste boundaries and to promote the idea of spiritual equality and devotion as opposed to the privilege based on birth. In the years that followed, Indian National Congress was used as a medium to express the common demands of civil rights, self-rule and equal treatment of Indians by the British law. These movements preconditioned further changes in the society and sowed the seeds of equality in the minds of people.

Such influential reformers as Raja Rammohan Roy and Dr. B.R. Ambedkar played a crucial role in bringing the topic of social justice and personal rights to a new level. Roy devoted her life to eradicating retrogressive traditions, such as sati, child marriage, and caste-oppression and championing women education and civil rights. As a scholar and the leader of the Dalit movement, Ambedkar tirelessly struggled to end the practice of untouchability, free access to common spaces, and representation of the oppressed in the political arena. The idea of welfare of all (Sarvodaya) of Mahatma Gandhi was specifically aimed at raising the poor, the marginalized and the people living at the periphery of the society, which enhanced the moral cause of equality in the freedom movement.

The Constitution after India became independent institutionalized the ideas of equality by the affirmative action plans, land reforms, labor rights, and educational opportunities. Nevertheless, such reforms were usually not enough to change the established social patterns that perpetuated inequality. Most of the post-independence policies were either implemented shoddily or compromised politically. Consequently, social reform that was not accompanied by structural change tended to result in symbolic changes that failed to adequately change the material circumstances or power imbalances that continue injustice. Therefore, the history of reform in India can be seen as the one that represents the possibility and the shortcomings of progressive work without the overall change of the systems.

7. CONCLUSION

The study highlights that although the notion of equality is strongly entrenched in the constitutional framework and philosophical approaches, its actualization is still troubled with the established social systems and institutional deficiencies. The discussion shows that inequality within the system along caste, gender, religious, economic and education levels still relegates huge amounts of people to the fringe even after several decades of reforms. The historical movements and major reformists have contributed significantly to the ideal of a just and inclusive society, but without a proper structural change, the movement sometimes took the form of a mere symbolism. The study points to the necessity of overcoming formal equality in favour of substantive justice by focusing on Intersectionality, equal access to digital and

economic opportunities, and transforming such concepts as meritocracy. Finally, genuine equality requires more than legal safeguards; a transformation of social attitudes, policies and social institutions is also required to ascertain equity, dignity and equal participation of all in an inclusive and changing world.

REFERENCES

1. *AolaBelingheri et al. (2021). Twenty years of gender equality research: A scoping review based on a new semantic indicator, PLOS ONE 16(11): e0259930.*
2. *Condron C, Power M, Mathew M, Lucey S. (2023). Gender Equality Training for Students in Higher Education: Protocol for a Scoping Review, JMIR Res Protocol 2023;12: e44584. URL: https://www.researchprotocols.org/2023/1/e44584. DOI: 10.2196/44584*
3. *Cyril-Mary P. Olatunji (2013), An Argument for Gender Equality in Africa, CLCWeb: Comparative Literature and Culture, ISSN :1481-4374, Volume 15, Issue 1, 2013, PP 1-8.*
4. *M. Padmavati (2016), Empowerment of women through SHG's in Chittoor district of Andhra Pradesh, International Education and Research Journal, Social Science, E-ISSN: 2454-9916, Volume 2, Issue 5, May, 2016 PP 44-46.*
5. *Padmaja Tamuli, Kaushik Mishra (2023). Achieving Gender Equality through Women Empowerment in India: A Study in the Context of Sustainable Development, Journal of Women Empowerment and Studies, 3(5): 29- 39.*
6. *Peterson K Ozili (2023). Effect of Gender Equality on Financial Stability and Financial Inclusion, Social Responsibility Journal, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. https://doi.org/10.1108/SRJ-12-2022-0565.*
7. *Priti Jha &Niti Nagar (2015), A study of gender inequality in India, The International Journal of Indian Psychology, ISSN: 2349-3429 (p), Volume 2, Issue 3, April – June, 2015 PP 46-53.*
8. *R Rajesh (2014), Gender Justice in Advertisements: Study of Indian Commercials, International Research Journal of Social Sciences, ISSN: 2339-3565, Volume 3 Number 1, January, 2014 PP 17-20.*
9. *Rajeshwari M. Shettar (2015), A study on issues and challenges of women empowerment in India, IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR- JBM), p-ISSN: 2319-7668, Volume 17, Issue 4, April 2015, PP 13-19.*
10. *Rita Kumari (2014), Making women monk in Thai society and Gender Inequality in early Buddhism, IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS), P-ISSN:2279-0845, Volume19, Issue 4, Apr. 2014, PP 05-10.*
11. *Rodrigo Rosa & Sara Clavero (2022). Gender equality in higher education and research, Journal of Gender Studies, 31:1, 1-7, DOI: 10.1080/09589236.2022.2007446*

12. *Smita Paul (2016), A feminine journey from idealism to realism in Vijay Tendulkar's 'Kanyadaan', International Education and Research Journal, Literature, ISSN: 2454-9916, Volume 2, Issue 6, June, 2016 PP 90-91.*

13. *Smolovic Valentina &Draskovic Milan (2016), Female Crime in Montenegro: Prevalence, Causes and Preventive Measures, International Education & Research Journal, E-ISSN: 2454-9916, Volume 2, Issue 6, June, 2016 PP 93-93*

14. *Subhasish Chatterjee& Lt. Col. (Rtd.) Dipali Chatterjee (2016), Gender Inequality…. The Curse of Society, International Education and Research Journal, Social Science, E-ISSN: 2454-9916, Volume 2, Issue 6, June, 2016 PP 59-61*

15. *Y. Li et al. (2022). Gender Differences Influence Gender Equality Awareness, Self-Esteem, and Subjective Well-Being among School-Age Children in China, Front. Psychol., Volume 12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.671785*

******